Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: where do we stand?
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ABSTRACT Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is common in systemic sclerosis (SSc) patients and despite recent advances in the treatment is, at present, the major cause of death. Today, an early diagnosis of ILD is possible, and is mandatory to improve the prognosis of the disease.

Pulmonary function tests and high-resolution computed tomography remain the mainstay for the diagnosis of SSc-ILD, but there is a growing interest in lung ultrasound. Recently, the correlation between severity of fibrosis and some peripheral blood biomarkers has been described.

Nonselective immunosuppressors are still the main treatment for ILD, with cyclophosphamide (CYC) most widely used to obtain remission. Novel therapies towards specific molecular and cellular targets have been suggested; in particular, rituximab (RTX) has shown promising results, but further research is needed. It is of paramount importance to define the severity of the disease and the risk of progression in order to define the need for treatment and the treatment intensity. We propose the division of the treatment strategies at our disposal to induce remission into three categories: high intensity (haematopoietic stem cell transplantation), medium intensity (CYC and RTX) and low intensity (azathioprine (AZA) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF)). After obtaining remission, maintenance treatment with AZA or MMF should be started.

In this review we explore new advances in the pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of SSc-ILD.

The relevance of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis

Pulmonary disease in systemic sclerosis (SSc) mainly comprises interstitial lung disease (ILD) and pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). Over the past 40 years the SSc mortality rate has not changed significantly [1]. Nevertheless, while the frequency of deaths due to renal crisis has significantly decreased from 42% to 6%, the proportion of deaths due to ILD and PAH has increased [2]. In fact, ILD and PAH are the two main causes of death in SSc, accounting for 33% and 28% of deaths, respectively [2]. A European Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR) analysis revealed, in a cohort of 3656 SSc patients, that ILD is present in 53% of cases with diffuse cutaneous SSc and in 35% of cases with limited
cutaneous SSc [3]. Cumulative survival of SSc patients from diagnosis is 84.1% at 5 years and 74.9% at 10 years [4]. The reported survival of SSc-ILD patients is similar to that of patients without ILD at 5 years while it is significantly lower at 10 years. In fact, the survival of SSc-ILD patients is reported to be 29–69% at 10 years [5]. In early autopsy studies, up to 100% of patients have parenchymal involvement [4], as many as 90% of patients show interstitial abnormalities on high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) [6], and 40–75% have changes in pulmonary function tests (PFTs) [7]. Considering the frequency of lung involvement in SSc and its impact on the prognosis, it is important to recognise patients with ILD early and treat them appropriately.

**Pathogenesis**
The pathogenesis of SSc-ILD is not yet fully understood. Three steps are considered to be involved: 1) persistent and repeated bouts of injury to endothelial cells, 2) activation of innate and adaptive immunity and 3) fibroblast recruitment/activation, which results in accumulation of extracellular matrix and scarring [8]. Still, the exact pathways and mechanisms are not clear and have been the object of recent studies. A pivotal role seems to be played by transforming growth factor (TGF-β) which is secreted by platelets, monocytes/macrophages, T-cells and fibroblasts. The binding of TGF-β to its receptor activates Smad-dependent and Smad-independent pathways, including the mitogen-activated protein kinases p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase, the lipid kinase phosphoinositol-3-kinase, the tyrosine kinase c-ABL, and the Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase [9]. Target genes of Smad-dependent TGF-β signalling are type I collagen, plasminogen activator inhibitor, α-smooth muscle actin and connective tissue growth factor. Toll-like receptor (TLR)4 is widely recognised as central to the innate immune response to Gram-negative bacteria, but it can also be activated by endogenous ligands generated by cellular injury, the autoimmune response and oxidative stress. In skin and lung biopsies of SSc patients, increased expression of TLR4 has been demonstrated. TLR4 activation potentiates TGF-β signalling and suppresses antifibrotic microRNA [10].

Telocytes are a peculiar type of stromal cell, which may have a role in the regulation of tissue homeostasis. A recent study has shown loss of telocytes in the gastric wall, myocardium and lung of SSc patients, suggesting that this loss may be implicated in the pathogenesis of fibrosis [11]. Recently, elevated levels of interleukin (IL)-33 have been correlated with the severity of skin and lung fibrosis [12]. Another protein that may be involved in the pathogenesis of SSc-ILD is caveolin-1. It interferes with the TGF-β pathway since it inhibits Smad 3 phosphorylation and increases the endocytosis and degradation of TGF-β ligand–receptor complexes. In SSc patients, low levels of surface caveolin-1 have been detected [13]. Thymosin β-4 is a small ubiquitin protein, which acts by promoting cell motility and cell adhesion, inhibiting apoptosis, and downregulating inflammation. Lower levels of thymosin β-4 in the bronchoalveolar lavage of SSc-ILD patients have been correlated with disease progression [14]. In recent years, some genetic studies have shown increased expression of the TGF-β response including fibrosis-associated genes and myofibroblast markers [15, 16]. An analysis of gene expression in skin biopsies identified some transcripts that correlate with the severity of SSc-ILD. Plasma levels of two proteins encoded by these genes, CCL2 and soluble P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1, correlate with forced vital capacity (FVC) in PFTs, suggesting their possible use as biomarkers [17].

Epigenetic mechanisms may also play a role in the pathogenesis of SSc-ILD. Alterations in DNA methylation and histone modifications have been described in SSc-ILD patients [18]. The role of epigenetic alterations has not been widely investigated and it represents a challenging research area.

**Identification and follow-up of patients with SSc-ILD**
The history of patients with SSc-ILD usually reveals the insidious onset of exertional dyspnoea and a nonproductive cough. Bilateral basilar dry inspiratory crackles are usually present at lung auscultation. Virtually, all SSc-ILD patients are positive for antinuclear antibodies, which are frequently accompanied by SSc-specific antibodies, anti-topoisomerase I (anti-Topo I) and anti-Th/To and more rarely by anti-centromere antibodies [19]. PFTs reveal a restrictive pattern, mainly due to parenchymal involvement, with reduced forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and FVC, and a normal or slightly increased FEV1/FVC ratio. Restrictive lung disease from severe thoracic cutaneous involvement has been reported. Airway disease, although described, is rare in SSc as compared with other connective tissue diseases [20]. Diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (DLCO) is the most important functional test due to its ability to investigate the interstitial space between alveolar and endothelial surfaces as well as the integrity of the lung vascular bed. In patients with SSc, it can be reduced either in parenchymal fibrosis or in vascular abnormalities of pulmonary hypertension. Recently a rapid decline of DLCO has been identified as the single most significant marker of poor outcome [5]. Furthermore, it has been shown that DLCO provides the best estimate of the extent of ILD on HRCT [21]. Concerning imaging techniques, chest radiography has a low sensitivity and specificity for detecting parenchymal involvement. By contrast, a good specificity has been demonstrated in pulmonary hypertension, where typical findings are the enlargement of right pulmonary artery, loss of peripheral vasculature and filling of the retrosternal space by the hypertrophic right ventricle [22]. For the diagnosis of
SSc-ILD, HRCT is mandatory. The most frequent HRCT pattern is nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) [23], with a greater proportion of ground-glass opacities (GGOs) and a lower proportion of coarse reticulation. However, a usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern, characterised by honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis, may also be seen. Since the HRCT pattern predicts the underlying histopathology well, a lung biopsy is not generally necessary. The reversal of HRCT modifications is rarely achieved. GGO is commonly associated with irreversible disease. In fact, only 5% of patients with GGO and nonfibrotic interstitial opacities show an improvement of HRCT findings. Thus, in SSc, GGO may represent fibrosis in many cases [24]. Over time, the radiographic progression involves the replacement of GGOs with honeycombing/traction bronchiectasis and/or bronchiolectasis [25].

Recently, the issue of X-ray exposure in SSc patients has been raised [26, 27]. For this reason, the use of a 9-slice HRCT protocol has been evaluated in order to reduce the radiation dose and has shown an accuracy of over 90% when compared with standard HRCT [27]. Quantitative CT densitometry has been proposed as a method of providing a sensitive assessment of lung structure for monitoring parenchymal damage [28] and a good correlation with PFTs has been shown [28–30]. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in lung ultrasound (LUS) as a fast and noninvasive method with no risk of radiation exposure [26, 31]. The ultrasound feature of ILD involves the detection and quantification of the lung comet tail signs (B-lines) that originate from thickened interlobular septa. The number of B-lines has shown a good correlation with lung involvement on HRCT [32]. Nevertheless, the role of LUS in reducing the number of HRCTs in the follow-up of patients awaits further validation [33]. LUS cannot replace HRCT for diagnosis and for a complete evaluation of lung involvement. We propose an algorithm, reflecting our experience, for the diagnosis and follow-up of SSc-ILD that may include the use of LUS (fig. 1). This algorithm suggests that SSc patients should perform PFTs, HRCT and LUS to reach a diagnosis. Patients without lung involvement should then be monitored every 1–2 years (depending on the presence of risk factors) using PFTs with $D_L$, which are easy to perform, repeatable, reproducible, noninvasive and not expensive, and, if available, using LUS. If ILD is suspected from these examinations patients should move on to HRCT. Patients with evidence of ILD-SSc should perform PFTs with $D_L$ every 6 months and, if available, LUS; HRCT should be performed if progression of lung disease is suspected.

The outcome and its predictors
Unlike the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias, survival of patients with NSIP does not appear to differ from that of patients with UIP [5]. Severe restrictive lung disease (defined by a FVC of $\leq 50\%$ predicted) has been reported to occur in 13% of patients [7]. Patients who develop severe ILD tend to have a progressive decline in lung function within the first 2 years of disease [7]. It is of paramount importance to identify those patients who will develop severe ILD. Many demographic, clinical and serological features associated with disease progression have been described: male sex, black ethnicity/race, older age, early disease, and the presence of anti-Topo I antibodies [5, 34–37].

**FIGURE 1** Algorithm for diagnosis and follow-up of systemic sclerosis-interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD). PFT: pulmonary function test; $D_L$: diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography.

DOI: 10.1183/16000617.00002915
Recently, an algorithm based on combined evaluation of HRCT and PFTs has been proposed to differentiate patients with extensive and limited lung disease. Patients with >20% HRCT abnormalities are considered to have extensive lung disease and those with <20% to have limited disease. If HRCT evaluation is inconclusive, patients are considered to be affected by extensive lung disease if FVC <70% predicted and by limited lung disease if FVC ≥70% predicted. Patients with extensive lung disease have strikingly higher mortality and faster deterioration of lung function [38]. A meta-analysis of 26 studies identified male sex, disease extent on HRCT, presence of honeycombing, elevated Krebs von den Lungen (KL-6) values and increased alveolar epithelial permeability as predictors of both mortality and ILD progression in unadjusted analysis, while disease extent on the HRCT scan was the only variable that independently predicted both mortality and ILD progression [39]. Recently, a loss of density on capillaroscopy was shown to be associated with worse FVC and DLCO [40] and biomarkers that correlate with the severity of fibrosis have been proposed: elevated levels of chitinase [41], tenasin C [42], growth differentiation protein 15 [43], cartilage oligomeric matrix protein, alveolar epithelial cell antigen, KL-6 [44] and the chemokine CXCL4 [45]. Serum IL-6 predicted early disease progression and IL-6 values >767 pg·mL$^{-1}$ were predictive of risk of death within the first 30 months in patients with mild SSc-ILD [46].

**Treatment**

Since inflammation precedes and leads to fibrosis, and it is believed that only the inflammation is in part reversible, early treatment is mandatory. Nevertheless, fibrosis is generally the predominant abnormality in SSc-ILD. In a large histopathological series, inflammatory abnormalities were present in <20% of cases [5]. At present, no treatment can reverse lung fibrosis, and therefore the prevention of disease progression is still a more realistic goal than disease regression.

When faced with a patient with SSc-ILD, it is of paramount importance to understand the risk of progression in order to decide if therapy should be started and the level of intensity of the treatment. Therefore, the physician should make a profile of the patient that includes the stage, the activity and the severity of the disease. Even if there are no studies showing that extensive disease, as defined by Gori et al. [38], is a criterion to start treatment, experts will treat patients with extensive disease, while a “wait and watch” approach is not infrequently chosen when managing patients with mild disease. SSc patients with evidence of ongoing disease progression, based on pulmonary function decline or radiographic deterioration, need treatment independent of disease extent. Features that may predict progression (e.g. early disease, tendon friction rub, anti-Topo I positivity and high IL-6 levels) may in future be considered when deciding whether or not to start an immunosuppressive therapy.

**Nonselective immunosuppressors**

Nonselective immunosuppressors are still the most widely used treatment in SSc-ILD. Therapy for ILD consists of an induction and a maintenance phase (fig. 2).

After two double-blind [47, 48] and one unblinded [49] randomised controlled trials (RCTs), in which patients treated with cyclophosphamide (CYC) showed either a significant increase or a trend towards an increase in FVC [47–49], CYC is the drug most used as an induction regimen. Results from the Scleroderma Lung Study I showed that the beneficial effects of oral CYC persisted or increased for several months after stopping the therapy, but were no longer apparent after 12 months; suggesting that maintenance treatment after CYC therapy is needed [47]. When using CYC, toxicity is an issue; in the short term this includes leukopenia and infections, while long-term effects include infertility, bone marrow toxicity and carcinogenesis. The use of intermittent intravenous pulses reduces the toxicity [50]. There is still no agreement on dose, duration and frequency of CYC pulses [48, 51–53]. We suggest the use of monthly pulses at a dose of 1 g·m$^{-2}$ for 6–12 months. The dose of each infusion and the duration of the therapy can be reduced according to age and comorbidity.

Recently, the use of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in the treatment of SSc-ILD has produced great interest. To date, only observational studies on the efficacy of MMF have been performed. A recent meta-analysis, encompassing one prospective [54] and four retrospective studies [55–58], evaluated the safety and efficacy of MMF and mycophenolate sodium [59]. The results of this meta-analysis show that mycophenolate is a safe therapeutic agent and its administration has been linked with stabilisation of lung functional parameters. A prospective study in which patients treated with MMF were matched 1:1 with patients treated with CYC, showed a deterioration of lung HRCT at 2 years after MMF treatment but not after CYC, despite the fact that patients treated with CYC had more extensive ILD at baseline [60]. While awaiting the results of a multicentre randomised clinical trial, the Scleroderma Lung Study II, which aims to compare the functional effect of MMF with oral CYC in patients with SSc-ILD, MMF is generally used as a maintenance treatment after CYC and is only occasionally employed as an induction treatment [61].
In a RCT, azathioprine (AZA) has been compared with CYC as first line treatment. Unlike patients treated with CYC who showed a trend towards FVC improvement, in patients receiving AZA a significant deterioration of both FVC and DLCO was observed after 10 months of follow-up [49]. The results of observational studies are encouraging concerning the use of AZA as a maintenance agent, as AZA stabilised lung function in most patients [51, 62, 63]. In view of these results we usually do not recommend the use of AZA as first-line agent but mainly as a maintenance treatment after CYC. Currently, there are no parameters to guide the choice between AZA and MMF as the maintenance treatment. In a recent study patients with deterioration of lung function after CYC received MMF and those with improvement or stabilisation were treated with AZA. Most of patients unresponsive to CYC also worsened under MMF [51].

Corticosteroids are often used in SSc patients, predominantly in combination with other immunosuppressive treatments since monotherapy with corticosteroids is generally not effective. Corticosteroid pulses have been used in association with CYC in the treatment of ILD-SSc with favourable results [64, 65]. It is important to remember that the chronic use of corticosteroids at a medium-high dose (>15 mg·day⁻¹) has been associated with a higher risk of developing a scleroderma renal crisis (SRC), particularly in patients with early diffuse disease [66, 67].

**Biological immunotherapies**

In terms of biological treatment, interesting results on the use of rituximab (RTX) have been published. A few years ago, a pilot study randomised 14 diffuse cutaneous SSc patients to receive either RTX plus standard therapy or standard therapy alone. Two cycles of RTX were administered (at baseline and 24 weeks). Each cycle consisted of four weekly RTX infusions (375 mg·m⁻²·week⁻¹). After 1 year of follow-up, patients treated with RTX showed a significant improvement in FVC, DLCO and modified Rodnan skin score (mRss) [68]. Two further cycles of RTX, at an interval of 6 months, were then administered to the patients in the RTX group. After 2 years of follow-up, a significant improvement in pulmonary function (FVC: +9%, p<0.0001; DLCO: +10.88%, p<0.001) and skin involvement was observed (mRss: −8.63, p<0.0001) [69]. In 2014, a case–control analysis, carried out by the EUSTAR group, was published. 63 patients treated with RTX were matched with control patients from the EUSTAR database not treated with RTX. In SSc-ILD patients RTX significantly prevented further decline of FVC compared with matched controls (p=0.02) [70]. Although the efficacy of RTX is awaiting validation in a RCT, these results are encouraging and we consider RTX as a valid treatment option in patients who do not tolerate CYC or who have a contraindication for it. RTX may also be used after CYC instead of starting maintenance with MMF or AZA, particularly if the patient fails to respond to CYC.

As for other biological therapies (abatacept, tocilizumab and anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α), there are only a few studies evaluating their efficacy in SSc-ILD. In particular, we do not recommend the use of anti-TNF-α since its effects on fibrosis are not clear [71].

---

**FIGURE 2** Algorithm to induce remission in systemic sclerosis-interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD). HSCT: haematopoietic stem cell transplantation; CYC: cyclophosphamide; RTX: rituximab; AZA: azathioprine; MMF: mycophenolate mofetil.
### Antifibrotic treatment

In SSc, no antifibrotic drug has shown a real efficacy in prevention and treatment of fibrosis. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor, imatinib mesilate, blocks the pro-fibrotic c-Abl kinase, an important downstream signalling molecule of TGF-β [72]. In two single-arm, open label, phase I/IIa and IIa clinical trials, patients treated with imatinib showed a significant reduction of mRSS. A statistically significant improvement in lung function was only shown in one of the studies. In both studies adverse events (facial/ lower extremity oedema, fatigue, gastrointestinal and musculoskeletal complaints) were common [73, 74]. In a proof-of-concept, double-blind, RCT, imatinib was interrupted after 6 months due to its poor tolerability [75]. Only four out of the 10 active diffuse cutaneous SSc patients enrolled were able to complete the 6 months of treatment. In this study, imatinib was used at a dose of 400 mg twice a day; however, when the dose was reduced to 200 mg daily it was also poorly tolerated. By contrast, in a very recent study in which patients received 200 mg daily, imatinib was well tolerated [76]. Imatinib has been evaluated in a RCT in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. In this study imatinib at a dose of 600 mg daily did not affect either survival or lung function. Serious adverse events were not more frequent in the imatinib group than in the placebo group [77]. Considering the lack of clear evidence of efficacy and the quite common side-effects, imatinib is rarely used in clinical practice.

Thalidomide has shown antifibrotic properties on interstitial lung fibrosis in in vitro studies of human fetal lung fibroblasts and in vivo studies of a mouse model of ILD generated by bleomycin injection [78]. Further studies are needed in order to define if it can represent a treatment option for SSc-ILD patients.

### Immunoglobulins

The use of intravenous immunoglobulins has been evaluated in a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial and in some open-label studies, in which an improvement of skin involvement was observed [79–82]. In these studies the effects on ILD were not evaluated. The case of a SSc patient with myositis and early-stage ILD was recently described, in which, after i.v. immunoglobulins, the regression of GGOs, septal thickenings and a full recovery of lung function occurred [83].

### Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation

In the past decade, intense immunosuppression followed by haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) has emerged as a new therapeutic procedure for patients affected by severe diffuse cutaneous SSc that is refractory to conventional treatments [84]. Recently, the results of the Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation International Scleroderma Trial (ASTIS), a phase III, multicentre, randomised study, were published. In this trial, HSCT was compared, in terms of efficacy and safety, with 12 successive monthly i.v. pulses of CYC. In terms of effects on lung function, patients undergoing HSCT showed a significant improvement of both FVC and total lung capacity, while no significant differences in terms of DLCO were observed. The number of events, defined as death or persistent major organ failure, was recorded in the two groups. Patients undergoing HSCT showed a higher number of events in the first year: 13 (16.5%) events, including eight treatment-related deaths, in the HSCT group versus eight (10.4%) events, with no treatment-related deaths, in the control group. But the total number of events, observed during a median follow-up of 5.8 years, was higher in the CYC treated group: 22 events in the HSCT group (19 deaths and three irreversible organ failures) and 31 events in the CYC group (23 deaths and eight irreversible organ failures). Therefore, HSCT was associated with increased treatment-related mortality in the first year after treatment, but it conferred a significant long-term event-free survival benefit and may improve lung function [85]. Nevertheless, before deciding on transplantation the high treatment-related risk of death should always be considered.

### Lung transplantation

Lung transplantation is a life-saving option for SSc-ILD patients who are not responsive to medical therapy. Unfortunately lung transplantation is not always possible due to the involvement of other organs.

The short- and intermediate-term survival of SSc-ILD patients post-lung transplantation are similar to those seen in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis [86]. Considering the main studies on lung and heart–lung transplantation an overall survival of 52–80% at 2 years is reported [87–90]. In a recent retrospective analysis a survival rate of 59% is reported at 3 years [91]. The study with the best survival outcomes had more stringent exclusion criteria [89]. There are no official specific contraindications for lung or heart–lung transplantation in SSc. Independent of the disease that leads to transplantation, absolute contraindications are: untreated organ failure other than lung (especially creatinine clearance <50 mL·min⁻¹/1.73 m⁻²), non-curable chronic extrapulmonary infections, active or recent neoplasia (less than 2 years), active smoking or active substance addiction, uncontrolled psychiatric disorders, major spinal/thoracic deformity and degenerative neuromuscular diseases, documented non-adherence with medical therapy, and body mass index <15·kg·m⁻². Recently, specific SSc contraindications have been proposed. They include: uncontrolled active inflammatory myopathy, active digital ulcers, severe gastrointestinal involvement,
cardiac arrhythmias, unstable renal function in the past 3 months (except in the case of acute functional renal failure related to right ventricle dysfunction), an interval of <3 years between SRC and transplantation, and increased risk of SRC (significant skin breakdown from severe cutaneous disease, diffuse SSc evolving for <3 years since the first non-Raynaud symptoms) [91].

Conclusions
The early diagnosis of ILD is possible and should be achieved by every specialist. It is not only necessary to get an early diagnosis, but also to define the severity of the disease and try to predict patients' prognosis. In this effort, risk factors for evolution should be checked in every patient. According to the severity of the disease the physician should define how aggressive the therapy should be. Early treatment is mandatory to achieve a better prognosis. To obtain remission, that is to stop disease progression and, if possible, to reverse some disease-related changes, is the aim of every treatment strategy. Patients should be evaluated regularly in order to define if remission has been reached and therapy should be modified if needed. We propose division of the treatment strategies at our disposal to induce remission into three classes: high intensity (HSCT), medium intensity (CYC and RTX), and low intensity (AZA and MMF).

After obtaining remission, maintenance treatment with AZA or MMF should be started (fig. 2).

Unfortunately, and despite recent advances, in some cases, all the treatments currently at our disposal are unable to significantly change the natural course of this disease.
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